dtComb: A Comprehensive R Package for Combining Two Diagnostic Tests

S. İlayda YERLİTAŞ TAŞTAN^{1,2}, Serra Berşan GENGEÇ², Necla KOÇHAN³, Gözde ERTÜRK ZARARSIZ^{1,2}, Selçuk KORKMAZ⁴ and Gökmen ZARARSIZ^{1,2†}

¹Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine Department of Biostatistics, Kayseri, Türkiye

²Erciyes University Drug Application and Research Center (ERFARMA), Kayseri, Türkiye

³Izmir University of Economics-Department of Mathematics, 35330, İzmir, Türkiye

⁴ Trakya University Faculty of Medicine Department of Biostatistics, Edirne, Türkiye

October 10, 2024

Abstract

dtComb is a comprehensive R package that combines two different diagnostic tests. Using its extensive collection of 143 combination methods, the dtComb package enables researchers to standardize their data and merge diagnostic tests. Users can load the dataset containing the reference list and the diagnostic tests they intend to utilize. The package includes combination methods grouped into four main categories: linear combination methods (linComb), non-linear combination methods (nonlinComb), mathematical operators (mathComb), and machine-learning algorithms (mlComb). The package incorporates eight specific combination methods from the literature within the scope of linear combination methods. Non-linear combination methods encompass statistical approaches like polynomial regression, penalized regression methods, and splines, incorporating the interactions between the diagnostic tests. Mathematical operators involve arithmetic operations and eight distance measures adaptable to various data structures. Finally, machine-learning algorithms include 113 models from the caret package tailored for dtComb's data structure. The data standardization step includes five different methods: Z-score, T-score, Mean, Deviance, and Range standardization. The dtComb integrates machine-learning approaches, enabling the utilization of preprocessing methods available in the caret package for standardization purposes within the dtComb environment. The dtComb package allows users to fine-tune hyperparameters while building a model. This is accomplished through resampling techniques such as 10-fold cross-validation, bootstrapping, and 10-fold repeated cross-validation. Since machine-learning algorithms are directly adapted from the caret package, all resampling methods available in the caret package are applicable within the dtComb environment. Following the model building, the **predict** function predicts the class labels and returns the combination scores of new observations from the test set. The dtComb package is designed to be user-friendly and easy to use and is currently the most comprehensive package developed to combine diagnostic tests in the literature. This vignette was created to guide researchers on how to use this package. dtComb version: 1.0.4

1 Introduction

Diagnostic tests are critical in distinguishing diseases and determining accurate diagnoses for patients, and they significantly impact clinical decisions. Beyond their fundamental role in medical diagnosis, these tests also aid in developing appropriate treatment strategies while lowering treatment costs. The widespread availability of these diagnostic tests depends on their accuracy, performance, and reliability. When it comes to diagnosing medical conditions, there may be several tests available, and some may perform better than others and eventually replace established protocols. Studies have shown that using multiple tests rather than relying on a single test improves diagnostic performance [1, 2, 3]. A number of approaches to combining diagnostic tests are available in the literature. The dtComb package includes a variety of combination methods existing in the literature, data standardization approaches for different data structure, and resampling methods for model building. In this vignette, users will learn how to combine two diagnostic tests with different combination methods. dtComb package can be loaded as below:

library(dtComb)

2 Preparing the input data

The methods provided within this package are designed to require a DataFrame comprising three columns, where the first column represents class labels, and the subsequent columns correspond to the values of the corresponding markers. The class label is a binary variable (i.e., negative/positive, present/absent) representing the outcomes of a reference test used in disease precision. This vignette will use the dataset exampleData1, included in this package. This dataset contains information from patients admitted to the General Surgery Department of Ercives University Medical Faculty with complaints regarding abdominal pain. The dataset comprises 225 patients split into two groups: those requiring immediate laparotomy (110 patients) and those not requiring it (115 patients). Patients who had surgery due to postoperative pathologies are in the first group, whereas those with negative laparotomy results belong to the second group [4].

```
data(exampleData1)
head(exampleData1)
##
      group ddimer log_leukocyte
## 1 needed 8.09
                            5.52
## 2 needed 5.16
                            4.43
## 3 needed 8.90
                            5.20
## 4 needed 10.17
                            5.39
## 5 needed
              1.93
                            5.09
## 6 needed
              3.63
                            4.68
```

The dataset is divided into two parts: the training and the test sets. The training set consists of 75% of the dataset and is used to train classification models and to compare different model performances. The remaining portion of the dataset is saved as the **test** set, which will later be used in the prediction phase. The **train** and the **test** sets are built as follows:

```
# # train set from the exampleData1
set.seed(2128)
inTrain <- caret::createDataPartition(exampleData1$group, p = 3 / 4, list = FALSE)
trainData <- exampleData1[inTrain, ]</pre>
head(trainData)
##
      group ddimer log_leukocyte
## 2 needed 5.16
                            4.43
## 3 needed
              8.90
                            5.20
## 4 needed 10.17
                            5.39
## 5 needed
             1.93
                            5.09
## 6 needed
              3.63
                            4.68
## 7 needed 3.12
                            5.20
# # test set from the exampleData1
```

```
set.seed(2128)
testData <- exampleData1[-inTrain, -1]</pre>
```

We have a total of 170 patients in the training set, with 83 requiring laparotomy and 87 not requiring laparotomy. The training dataset is divided into two parts: markers (i.e., diagnostic test results) and status (i.e., reference test results or class labels). The class label is also converted into a factor variable if it is not a factor. The remaining 55 patients are assigned to the test set.

```
markers <- trainData[, -1]
status <- factor(trainData$group, levels = c("not_needed", "needed"))</pre>
```

3 Available methods

The dtComb package contains 143 methods for combining diagnostic tests. These methods are classified as linear methods, non-linear methods, mathematical operators, and machine-learning (ML) algorithms, each briefly explained below.

Notations:

Before getting into these methods, let us introduce some notations used throughout this vignette. Let D_i , $i = 1, 2, ..., n_1$ be the marker values of *i*th individual in diseased group, where $D_i = (D_{i1}, D_{i2})$, and H_j , $j = 1, 2, ..., n_2$ be the marker values of *j*th individual in healthy group, where $H_j = H_{j1}, H_{j2}$. Let $x_{i1} = c(D_{i1}, H_{j1})$ be the values of the first marker, and $x_{i2} = c(D_{i2}, H_{j2})$ be values of the second marker for the *i*th individual i = 1, 2, ..., n. Let $D_{i,min} = min(D_{i1}, D_{i2}), D_{i,max} = max(D_{i1}, D_{i2}), H_{j,min} = min(H_{j1}, H_{j2}), H_{j,max} = max(H_{j1}, H_{j2})$ and c_i be the resulting combination score for the *i*th individual.

3.1 Linear combination methods:

• Logistic Regression (logistic): Combination score obtained by fitting a logistic regression model is as follows:

$$c_{i} = \left(\frac{e^{\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i1} + \beta_{2}x_{i2}}}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i1} + \beta_{2}x_{i2}}}\right)$$

A combination score obtained by fitting a logistic regression model typically refers to the predicted probability or score assigned to each observation in a dataset based on the logistic regression model's fitted values [5].

• Scoring based on Logistic Regression (scoring): The combination score is obtained using the slope values of the relevant logistic regression model, slope values are rounded to the number of digits taken from the user [6].

$$c_i = \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2}$$

• Pepe & Thompson's method (PT): The Pepe and Thompson combination score, developed using their optimal linear combination technique, aims to maximize the Mann-Whitney U statistic like the Min-max method. Unlike the Min-max method, the Pepe and Thomson method considers all marker values instead of the lowest and maximum values [7].

maximize
$$U(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{n_1, n_2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} I(D_{i1} + \alpha D_{i2}) = H_{j1} + \alpha H_{j2})$$

 $c_i = x_{i1} + \alpha x_{i2}$

• Pepe, Cai & Langton's method (PCL): Pepe, Cai and Langton combination score obtained by using AUC as the parameter of a logistic regression model [8].

maximize
$$U(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{n_1, n_2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} I(D_{i1} + \alpha D_{i2} > H_{j1} + \alpha H_{j2}) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) I(D_{i1} + \alpha D_{i2} = H_{j1} + \alpha H_{j2})$$

 $c_i = x_{i1} + \alpha x_{i2}$

• Min-Max method (minmax): This method linearly combines the minimum and maximum values of the markers by finding a parameter, α , that maximizes the Mann-Whitney statistic, an empirical estimate of the ROC area [9].

$$maximize \ U(\alpha) = \left(\frac{1}{n_1, n_2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} I(D_{i,max} + \alpha D_{i,min} > H_{j,max} + \alpha H_{j,min})$$
$$c_i = x_{i,max} + \alpha x_{i,min}$$

where $x_{,max} = max(x_{i1}, x_{i2})$ and $x_{,min} = min(x_{i1}, x_{i2})$.

• Su & Liu's method (SL): The Su and Liu combination score is computed through Fisher's discriminant coefficients, which assumes that the underlying data follow a multivariate normal distribution, and the covariance matrices across different classes are assumed to be proportional [10]. Assuming that $D \sim N(\mu_D, \sum_D)$ and $H \sim N(\mu_H, \sum_H)$ represent the multivariate normal distributions for the diseased and non-diseased groups, respectively. The Fisher's coefficients are as follows:

$$(\alpha,\beta) = (\sum_D + \sum_H)^{-1}\mu$$

where $\mu = \mu_D - \mu_H$. The combination score in this case is:

$$c_i = \alpha x_{i1} + \beta x_{i2}$$

• Minimax approach (minimax): Combination score obtained with the Minimax procedure; t parameter is chosen as the value that gives the maximum AUC from the combination score [11]. Suppose that D follows a multivariate normal distribution $D \sim N(\mu_D, \sum_D)$, representing the diseased group, and H follows a multivariate normal distribution $H \sim N(\mu_H, \sum_H)$, representing the non-diseased group. Then Fisher's coefficients are as follows:

$$(\alpha, \beta) = [t \sum_{D} + (1 - t) \sum_{H}]^{-1} (\mu_{D} - \mu_{H})$$
$$c_{i} = b_{1}x_{1} + b_{2}x_{2}$$

 Todor & Saplacan's method (TS): Combination score obtained using the trigonometric functions of the Θ value that optimizes the corresponding AUC [12].

$$c_i = \sin(\theta)x_{i1} + \cos(\theta)x_{i2}$$

3.2 Nonlinear combination methods:

- Logistic Regression with Polynomial Feature Space (polyreg): The method builds a logistic regression model with the polynomial feature space and returns the probability of a positive event for each observation.
- Ridge Regression with Polynomial Feature Space (ridgereg): Ridge regression is a shrinkage method used to estimate the coefficients of highly correlated variables and in this case the polynomial feature space created from two markers. For the implementation of the method, the glmnet library is used with two functions: cv.glmnet to run a cross-validation model to determine the tuning parameter λ and glmnet to fit the model with the selected tuning parameter [13]. For Ridge regression, the glmnet package is integrated into the dtComb package to facilitate the implementation of this method.
- Lasso Regression with Polynomial Feature Space (lassoreg): Lasso regression, like Ridge regression, is a type of shrinkage method. However, a notable difference is that Lasso tends to set some feature coefficients to zero, making it useful for feature elimination. It also employs cross-validation for parameter selection and model fitting using the glmnet library [13].

- Elastic-Net Regression with Polynomial Feature Space (elasticreg): Elastic-Net Regression is a hybrid model that merges the penalties from Ridge and Lasso regression, aiming to leverage the strengths of both approaches. This model involves two parameters: λ , similar to Ridge and Lasso, and α , a user-defined mixing parameter ranging between 0 (representing Ridge) and 1 (representing Lasso). The α parameter determines the balance or weights between the loss functions of Ridge and Lasso regressions [13].
- **Splines** (splines): Another non-linear approach to combining markers involves employing regression models within a polynomial feature space. This approach applies multiple regression models to the dataset using a function derived from piecewise polynomials. This implementation uses splines with user-defined degrees of freedom and degrees for the fitted polynomials. The splines library is employed to construct piecewise logistic regression models using base splines [14].
- Generalized Additive Models with Smoothing Splines and Generalized Additive Models with Natural Cubic Splines (sgam and nsgam): In addition to the basic spline structure, Generalized Additive Models are applied with natural cubic splines and smoothing splines using the gam library in R [15].

Possible interactions between the two diagnostic tests can also be considered within the non-linear approach. This may be advantageous, particularly if there is a correlation between these two markers. The include.interact option in the nonlinComb function can be set to TRUE to include interactions when building the model.

3.3 Mathematical operators:

- Arithmetic Operators : Arithmetic operators such as addition (add), subtraction (subtract), multiplication (multiply), and division (divide) can be used as mathematical operators within the dtComb package.
- **Distance Measures**: The combination of markers using these mathematical operators is evaluated based on distance measures, which assess the relationships between marker values [16, 17, 18]. The included distance measures with their respective formulas within the package are outlined as follows:
 - Euclidean(euclidean): $c_i = \sqrt{(x_{i1} 0)^2 + (x_{i2} 0)^2}$
 - **Manhattan**(manhattan): $c_i = |x_{i1} 0| + |x_{i2} 0|$
 - Chebyshev(chebyshev): $c_i = max|x_{i1} 0|, |x_{i2} 0|$
 - Kulczynski d(kulczynski-d): $c_i = \frac{|x_{i1}-0|+|x_{i2}-0|}{\min(x_{i1},x_{i2})}$
 - Lorentzian(lorentzian): $c_i = (ln(1 + |x_{i1} 0|)) + (ln(1 + |x_{i2} 0|))$
 - **Taneja**(taneja): $c_i = z_1 \times \left(\log \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{(x_{i1} \times \epsilon)}} \right) + z_2 \times \left(\log \frac{z_2}{\sqrt{(x_{i2} \times \epsilon)}} \right)$ where $z_1 = \frac{(x_{i1} - 0)}{2}, z_2 = \frac{(x_{i2} - 0)}{2}$
 - Kumar-Johnson(kumar-johnson): $c_i = \frac{(x_{i1}-0)^2}{2(x_{i1}\times\epsilon)} + \frac{(x_{i2}-0)^2}{2(x_{i2}\times\epsilon)}, \epsilon = 0.00001$

- Avg(avg):
$$c_i = \frac{|x_{i1}-0|+|x_{i2}-0|+max(x_{i1}-0),(x_{i2}-0)|}{2}$$

• Exponential approach: This method combines diagnostic tests to examine relationships between diagnostic measurements (i.e., markers). In this approach, one of the two diagnostic tests is considered the base, and the other is an exponent. This relationship is denoted by the terms **baseinexp** $(x_{i2}^{x_{i2}})$ and expinbase $(x_{i2}^{x_{i1}})$, respectively.

To increase the performance of the diagnostic test results, one can transform the values of markers before applying mathematical operators. It is possible to apply transformations like *cosine* (cos), *sine* (sin), *exponential* (exp), and *logarithmic* (log). Similarly, when using add and subtract operators, the exponents of markers are iteratively adjusted by 0.1 within the range [-3, 3]. This adjustment aims to optimize the **AUC**, and the model with the highest **AUC** is chosen as the final model.

3.4 Machine-learning algorithms:

Given that the diagnostic test data consists of numerical inputs and aims to predict binary outcomes, we selected 113 models from the **caret** package that meet these criteria. We benefit from these 113 models to create the mlComb function, which combines diagnostic tests using machine-learning algorithms. For a list of machine learning algorithms included in the dtComb package, users can run the availableMethods function [19].

4 Standardization

Standardization is critical in data analysis, especially when dealing with variables with different units or scales. Standardization plays a vital role in ensuring fair comparisons and accurate modeling in the context of diagnostic tests containing multiple variables measured in different units. In *dtComb*, while standardization is optional, certain combination methods within the *dtComb* package such as minmax, PCL, PT enforce standardization by default. For linear and non-linear combination methods and mathematical operators, five different standardization methods are available, listed as follows:

• **Z-score**: This method scales the data to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. It subtracts the mean and divides by the standard deviation for each feature. Mathematically,

$$Z - score = \frac{x - (\overline{x})}{sd(x)}$$

where x is the value of a marker, \overline{x} is the mean of the marker, and sd(x) is the standard deviation of the marker.

• **T-score**: T-score is commonly used in data analysis to transform raw scores into a standardized form. The standard formula for converting a raw score x into a T-score is:

$$T - score = \left(\frac{x - (\overline{x})}{sd(x)} \times 10\right) + 50$$

where x is the value of a marker, \overline{x} is the mean of the marker, and sd(x) is the standard deviation of the marker.

• Range (a.k.a. min-max scaling): This method transforms data to a specific range between 0 and 1. The formula for this method is:

$$Range = \frac{x - min(x)}{max(x) - min(x)}$$

• Mean: This method, which helps to understand the relative size of a single observation concerning the mean of the dataset, calculates the ratio of each data point to the mean value of the dataset.

$$Mean = \frac{x}{\overline{x}}$$

where x is the value of a marker and \overline{x} is the mean of the marker.

• **Deviance**: This method, which allows for the comparison of individual data points about the overall spread of the data, calculates the ratio of each data point to the standard deviation of the dataset.

$$Deviance = \frac{x}{sd(x)}$$

where x is the value of a marker and sd(x) is the standard deviation of the marker.

The mlComb function, designed for combining two diagnostic tests using machine-learning approaches, leverages the diverse set of standardization methods provided by the caret package. This empowers users to choose the optimal method tailored to their data and model needs. For guidance on default standardization methods or specifying particular standardization techniques for different models, users can refer to the caret documentation.

5 Model building

The dtComb has four different functions (linComb, nonlinComb, mlComb, mathComb) for the model building and evaluation process. These functions can be used to evaluate selected model providing a set of values for the model parameters, return the optimal model as well as the overall performance of the model for the training set.

5.1 Resampling methods to optimize the model parameters

The dtComb package optimizes model parameters for linear and non-linear approaches by employing various validation techniques: (i) n-fold cross-validation, which involves splitting the training data into nfolds groups for the model assessment, (ii) 10-fold repeated cross-validation where 10-fold division is repeated nrepeat times to ensure robust model evaluation and (iii) bootstrapping which makes use of niters subgroups from the training dataset to enhance parameter optimization and model validation. The resampling function embedded within the caret package is used by the mlComb function to perform resampling and hyper-parameter optimization. The relevant section of the caret package documentation contains detailed information about this process [19].

5.2 Model evaluation in the training phase

Metrics such as Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves and Area Under the Curve (AUC) values evaluate the model's performance during training. These metrics provide insights into the model's ability to distinguish between different classes or categories, offering valuable information regarding its performance characteristics. While measuring the ROC curves, an argument called direction argument is given as input to the relevant function, and the default value of the direction is set to auto. Moreover, the cut-off point which determines AUC is controlled by the cutoff.method argument within the package. 34 methods are available to determine the cut-off point, accessible through the OptimalCutpoints package in R [20]. Now, we will provide examples of how to use each approach's specific functions separately. We selected the cutoff.method for each scenario as the Youden Index and specified the ROC curve's direction as <.

Using the training data provided earlier, for a linear combination approach, the linComb function is employed with the range. Standardization method and 5-fold cross-validation in the following manner:

```
set.seed(2128)
```

```
# linComb Function
fit.lin <- linComb(
   markers = markers,
   status = status,
   event = "needed",
   method = "scoring",
   resample = "cv",
   standardize = "range",
   ndigits = 2, direction = "auto",
   cutoff.method = "Youden"
)</pre>
```



Let us now assume that we aim to fit the same training data using the Lasso regression method, which falls under the category of non-linear approaches. We'll use the nonlinComb function for a non-linear combination method, incorporating the bootstrapping resampling method with niter=10, and specifying additional arguments as follows:

```
# nonlinComb Function
set.seed(2128)

fit.nonlin <- nonlinComb(
   markers = markers,
   status = status,
   event = "needed",
   method = "lassoreg",
   include.interact = "TRUE",
   resample = "boot",
   direction = "auto",
   cutoff.method = "Youden"
)</pre>
```



In the following example, we fit the training data using the knn (K-Nearest Neighbors) method, a machine-learning approach. We will use the mlComb function, incorporating the 10-folds repeated cross-validation technique (i.e.,nfolds = 10, nrepeats = 5) as follows:

```
# mlComb Function
set.seed(2128)

fit.ml <- mlComb(
   markers = markers,
   status = status,
   event = "needed",
   method = "knn",
   resample = "repeatedcv", nfolds = 10, nrepeats = 5,
   preProcess = c("center", "scale"),
   direction = "<", cutoff.method = "Youden"
)</pre>
```



In the final example, we'll implement the mathComb function, specifically designed for mathematical operators. Using the same training dataset as in the previous examples, the chosen method involves utilizing the Euclidean distance metric to train the model as follows:

```
# mathComb Function
fit.math <- mathComb(
   markers = markers,
   status = status,
   event = "needed",
   method = "distance",
   distance = "euclidean",
   direction = "<",
   cutoff.method = "Youden"
)</pre>
```



The results of the four described approaches and single diagnostic tests are summarized in Table 1. The findings indicate that the combined diagnostic tests outperformed the individual ones. Notably, the Lasso regression method had the highest AUC value among the combined approaches. In this vignette, we compared only a few models and demonstrated how to train models. Acknowledging that different data and models might yield different results is essential. We will use the model trained by the Lasso regression method to make predictions on the test set since it exhibited superior performance on the training set.

Metot	AUC	Accuracy
D-dimer	0.822	0.77
$\log(leukocyte)$	0.795	0.77
scoring	0.878	0.82
lassoreg	0.919	0.85
knn	0.910	0.81

0.880

0.81

Table 1: Combination results for train data

6 Predicting the class labels of test samples

distance(euclidean)

We use the model parameters obtained during the training phase to predict the class labels of test samples. For instance, when training a model using the Lasso regression method, the labels of the test set are predicted based on the parameters optimized during training. However, the test set must undergo the same standardization or preprocessing steps as the training set to ensure both sets are on the same scale before making predictions. The **predict** function is then applied to the standardized test samples to estimate the class label (status) of new samples, as shown below:

predict(fit.nonlin, testData)

шш		asmb assure	lehele
##	4	comb.score	Tabels
##	T	1.000000000	needed
##	2	0.996516222	needed
##	3	0.999999897	needed
##	4	1 00000000	needed
##	5	1.000000000	needed
##	07	0.986539360	needed
##	1	1 00000000	needed
## ##	0	0.000000000	needed
## ##	9 10	0.693016402	needed
## ##	11	0.0002210423	needed
## ##	10	0.999022000	net noodod
## ##	12	0.079077314	noodod
##	1/	0.460615670	not needed
##	15	0.525039302	not needed
##	16	1 000000000	needed
##	17	0.983148471	needed
##	18	1.000000000	needed
##	19	0.874697566	needed
##	20	1.000000000	needed
##	21	0.332037411	not needed
##	22	0.073757039	not needed
##	23	0.378202968	not_needed
##	24	1.00000000	needed
##	25	0.999836211	needed
##	26	0.324951786	not_needed
##	27	0.734074461	needed
##	28	0.016074836	not_needed
##	29	0.724199018	needed
##	30	0.628914315	needed
##	31	0.274151673	not_needed
##	32	0.329407757	not_needed
##	33	0.127206060	not_needed
##	34	0.028066565	not_needed
##	35	0.123377049	not_needed
##	36	0.093700644	not_needed
##	37	0.050466767	not_needed
##	38	0.074684122	not_needed
##	39	0.399087783	not_needed
##	40	0.499194405	not_needed
##	41	0.006978096	not_needed
##	42	0.017378012	not_needed
##	43	0.386983215	not_needed
##	44	0.339655425	not_needed
##	45	0.331853431	not_needed
##	46	1.00000000	needed
##	47	0.349232483	not_needed
##	48	0.430754820	not_needed
##	49	0.409973137	not_needed
##	50	0.369327194	not_needed

```
## 51 0.005090672 not_needed
## 52 0.592396072 needed
## 53 0.533448209 not_needed
## 54 0.025102711 not_needed
## 55 0.002066089 not_needed
```

When employed on models trained with the linComb or mathComb functions, the predict function returns the combination score of the applied method and the estimated label. The predict function, on the other hand, returns the probability of positive and negative cases for each test observation for models trained with the nonlinComb or mlComb function.

7 Session info

```
sessionInfo()
## R version 4.3.1 (2023-06-16)
## Platform: aarch64-apple-darwin20 (64-bit)
## Running under: macOS Sonoma 14.6.1
##
## Matrix products: default
         /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-arm64/Resources/lib/libRblas.0.dylib
## BLAS:
## LAPACK: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-arm64/Resources/lib/libRlapack.dylib;
                                                                                                 LAPACK
##
## locale:
## [1] C/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8/C/en_US.UTF-8/en_US.UTF-8
##
## time zone: Europe/Istanbul
## tzcode source: internal
##
## attached base packages:
## [1] stats
                 graphics grDevices utils
                                                datasets methods
                                                                     base
##
## other attached packages:
                      lattice_0.22-5 ggplot2_3.5.1 dtComb_1.0.4
## [1] caret_6.0-94
                                                                    knitr_1.46.3
##
## loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
##
     [1] DBI_1.2.1
                                 pROC_1.18.5
                                                          BiasedUrn_2.0.11
##
     [4] rlang_1.1.4
                                 magrittr_2.0.3
                                                          e1071_1.7-14
     [7] compiler_4.3.1
                                  systemfonts_1.0.5
                                                          vctrs_0.6.5
##
##
   [10] reshape2_1.4.4
                                  stringr_1.5.1
                                                          httpcode_0.3.0
##
    [13] shape_1.4.6
                                  pkgconfig_2.0.3
                                                          crayon_1.5.3
    [16] fastmap_1.1.1
                                  ellipsis_0.3.2
                                                           pander_0.6.5
##
##
    [19] utf8_1.2.4
                                  promises_1.2.1
                                                          rmarkdown_2.25
    [22] prodlim_2023.08.28
##
                                  epiR_2.0.67
                                                          ragg_1.2.7
##
    [25] purrr_1.0.2
                                  glmnet_4.1-8
                                                          xfun_0.43
##
    [28] jsonlite_1.8.8
                                  recipes_1.0.9
                                                          highr_0.10
##
    [31] later_1.3.2
                                  uuid_1.2-0
                                                          parallel_4.3.1
##
    [34] R6_2.5.1
                                  stringi_1.8.4
                                                          parallelly_1.36.0
##
    [37] rpart_4.1.23
                                  lubridate_1.9.3
                                                          Rcpp_1.0.13
##
    [40] iterators_1.0.14
                                  future.apply_1.11.1
                                                          zoo_1.8-12
##
    [43] httpuv_1.6.14
                                  Matrix_1.6-5
                                                          splines_4.3.1
    [46] nnet_7.3-19
                                  timechange_0.2.0
                                                          tidyselect_1.2.1
##
##
    [49] rstudioapi_0.15.0
                                  timeDate_4032.109
                                                           codetools_0.2-19
    [52] curl_5.2.0
                                  listenv_0.9.0
                                                          tibble_3.2.1
##
```

##	[55]	plyr_1.8.9	shiny_1.8.0	withr_3.0.1
##	[58]	askpass_1.2.0	flextable_0.9.4	evaluate_0.23
##	[61]	OptimalCutpoints_1.1-5	future_1.33.1	survival_3.5-7
##	[64]	sf_1.0-15	units_0.8-5	proxy_0.4-27
##	[67]	zip_2.3.0	xml2_1.3.6	pillar_1.9.0
##	[70]	KernSmooth_2.23-22	foreach_1.5.2	stats4_4.3.1
##	[73]	generics_0.1.3	munsell_0.5.1	scales_1.3.0
##	[76]	globals_0.16.2	xtable_1.8-4	class_7.3-22
##	[79]	glue_1.7.0	gdtools_0.3.5	tools_4.3.1
##	[82]	gfonts_0.2.0	data.table_1.16.0	ModelMetrics_1.2.2.2
##	[85]	gower_1.0.1	grid_4.3.1	ipred_0.9-14
##	[88]	colorspace_2.1-1	nlme_3.1-164	cli_3.6.3
##	[91]	textshaping_0.3.7	officer_0.6.3	fontBitstreamVera_0.1.1
##	[94]	fansi_1.0.6	lava_1.7.3	dplyr_1.1.4
##	[97]	gtable_0.3.5	digest_0.6.34	fontquiver_0.2.1
##	[100]	classInt_0.4-10	crul_1.4.0	htmltools_0.5.7
##	[103]	lifecycle_1.0.4	hardhat_1.3.0	mime_0.12
##	[106]	fontLiberation_0.1.0	openssl_2.1.1	MASS_7.3-60.0.1

References

- Maedeh Amini, Anoshirvan Kazemnejad, Farid Zayeri, Azam Amirian, and Nourossadat Kariman. Application of adjusted-receiver operating characteristic curve analysis in combination of biomarkers for early detection of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Koomesh*, 2019.
- [2] Suizhi Yu. A covariate-adjusted classi cation model for multiple biomarkers in disease screening and diagnosis. *Kansas State University*, 2019.
- [3] Rocío Aznar-Gimeno, Luis M Esteban, Gerardo Sanz, Rafael del Hoyo-Alonso, and Ricardo Savirón-Cornudella. Incorporating a new summary statistic into the min-max approach: a min-max-median, min-max-iqr combination of biomarkers for maximising the youden index. *Mathematics*, 9(19):2497, 2021.
- [4] Hizir Yakup Akyildiz, Erdogan Sozuer, Alper Akcan, Can Kuçuk, Tarik Artis, İsmail Biri, Namık Yılmaz, et al. The value of d-dimer test in the diagnosis of patients with nontraumatic acute abdomen. *Turkish Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery*, 16(1):22–26, 2010.
- [5] Strother H Walker and David B Duncan. Estimation of the probability of an event as a function of several independent variables. *Biometrika*, 54(1-2):167–179, 1967.
- [6] Cristóbal León, Sergio Ruiz-Santana, Pedro Saavedra, Benito Almirante, Juan Nolla-Salas, Francisco Álvarez-Lerma, José Garnacho-Montero, María Ángeles León, EPCAN Study Group, et al. A bedside scoring system ("candida score") for early antifungal treatment in nonneutropenic critically ill patients with candida colonization. *Critical care medicine*, 34(3):730–737, 2006.
- [7] Margaret Sullivan Pepe and Mary Lou Thompson. Combining diagnostic test results to increase accuracy. *Biostatistics*, 1(2):123-140, 2000.
- [8] Margaret Sullivan Pepe, Tianxi Cai, and Gary Longton. Combining predictors for classification using the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve. *Biometrics*, 62(1):221–229, 2006.
- [9] Chunling Liu, Aiyi Liu, and Susan Halabi. A min-max combination of biomarkers to improve diagnostic accuracy. *Statistics in medicine*, 30(16):2005–2014, 2011.
- [10] John Q Su and Jun S Liu. Linear combinations of multiple diagnostic markers. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 88(424):1350–1355, 1993.

- [11] G Sameera, R Vishnu Vardhan, and KVS Sarma. Binary classification using multivariate receiver operating characteristic curve for continuous data. *Journal of biopharmaceutical statistics*, 26(3): 421–431, 2016.
- [12] Nicolae Todor, Irina Todor, and Gavril Săplăcan. Tools to identify linear combination of prognostic factors which maximizes area under receiver operator curve. *Journal of clinical bioinformatics*, 4(1): 1–7, 2014.
- [13] Jerome Friedman, Trevor Hastie, and Rob Tibshirani. Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. *Journal of statistical software*, 33(1):1, 2010.
- [14] R Core Team et al. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013.
- [15] Trevor Hastie. gam: Generalized additive models. r package version 1.16. 1. Von https://CRAN. R-project. org/package= gam abgerufen, 2019.
- [16] Sung-Hyuk Cha. Comprehensive survey on distance/similarity measures between probability density functions. City, 1(2):1, 2007.
- [17] Shraddha Pandit, Suchita Gupta, et al. A comparative study on distance measuring approaches for clustering. International journal of research in computer science, 2(1):29–31, 2011.
- [18] Georgy Minaev, Robert Piché, and Ari Visa. Distance measures for classification of numerical features. Tampere University of Technology, Finland, 2018.
- [19] Max Kuhn. Building predictive models in r using the caret package. Journal of statistical software, 28:1–26, 2008.
- [20] Mónica López-Ratón, María Xosé Rodríguez-Álvarez, Carmen Cadarso-Suárez, and Francisco Gude-Sampedro. Optimalcutpoints: an r package for selecting optimal cutpoints in diagnostic tests. *Journal* of statistical software, 61:1–36, 2014.